

1 JOSEPH H. HUNT
Assistant Attorney General
2 DAVID L. ANDERSON
United States Attorney
3 ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO
Deputy Branch Director
4 JULIA A. HEIMAN (Bar No. 241415)
Senior Counsel
5 CHRISTOPHER HEALY
Trial Attorney
6 United States Department of Justice
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
7 P.O. Box 883
Washington, D.C. 20044
8 Telephone: (202) 616-8480
Facsimile: (202) 616-8470
9 Email: Julia.Heiman@usdoj.gov
Attorneys for Defendants

10 MAYER BROWN LLP
LEE H. RUBIN (SBN 141331)
lrubin@mayerbrown.com
12 SAMANTHA BOOTH (SBN 298852)
sbooth@mayerbrown.com
13 Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300
3000 El Camino Real
14 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112
Telephone: (650) 331-2000
15 Facsimile: (650) 331-2060
Attorneys for Plaintiff Twitter, Inc.

16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
17 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
18 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

19 TWITTER, INC.,

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 WILLIAM P. BARR, Attorney General of the
United States, *et al.*

23 Defendants.
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 14-cv-4480-YGR

**JOINT STIPULATED REQUEST TO
EXCEED PAGE LIMITATIONS FOR
BRIEFING IN SUPPORT OF THE
PARTIES' CROSS-MOTIONS FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

Pursuant to Local Rule 7-11, Twitter, Inc. and Defendants William P. Barr, the United States Department of Justice, Christopher Wray, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (collectively, “Defendants”), by and through their respective counsel of record, stipulate as follows.

WHEREAS:

1. On November 22, 2019, Defendants filed a 25-page brief, which combined their reply in support of their renewed motion for summary judgment and their opposition to Twitter’s cross-motion for summary judgment. Dkt. No. 321. In submitting this brief, Defendants relied on Local Rule 7-3, which provides 25 pages for an opposition brief.

2. On November 27, 2019, Twitter notified Defendants that, under Rule 9(e) of the Court’s Standing Order, the default page limit for a reply in support of a party’s motion for summary judgment, even where that reply also opposes a cross-motion, is fifteen (15) pages. Defendants regret that they were previously unaware of this limitation, and respectfully request that the Court extend the page limitation for their submission to 25 pages, *nunc pro tunc*.

3. Also on November 29, 2019, the Government agreed to stipulate to Twitter’s request that it likewise receive an additional ten (10) pages for its reply in support of its cross-motion for summary judgment and in opposition to Defendants’ renewed motion for summary judgment, for a total of twenty-five (25) pages for the reply brief. With these additional pages, Twitter, like Defendants, will have a combined 50 available pages for all briefing related to Defendants’ motion for summary judgment and Twitter’s cross-motion for summary judgment.

4. Twitter’s request is warranted in order to give both sides an equal number of pages in which to support their respective cross-motions. It is also justified by the complexity of this case and the constitutional issues at stake.

5. A proposed order on the parties’ joint stipulation is attached hereto.
NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES JOINTLY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

The page limit for Defendants’ combined opposition to Twitter’s cross-motion and reply in support of their renewed motion for summary judgment shall be increased by ten (10) pages,

1 *nunc pro tunc*. The page limit for Twitter's reply in support of its cross-motion for summary
2 judgment shall likewise be increased by ten (10) pages.

3
4 Agreed to and submitted by:

5 Dated: December 3, 2019

MAYER BROWN LLP

6 /s/ Lee H. Rubin

MAYER BROWN LLP

7 LEE H. RUBIN (SBN 141331)

lrubin@mayerbrown.com

8 SAMANTHA BOOTH (SBN 298852)

sbooth@mayerbrown.com

9 Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300

3000 El Camino Real

10 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112

Telephone: (650) 331-2000

11 Facsimile: (650) 331-2060

12 *ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF*
TWITTER, INC.

13
14 Pursuant to General Order No. 45, I, Lee H. Rubin, attest that I obtained concurrence in
the filing of this document from the following signatories.

15 Dated: December 3, 2019

JOSEPH H. HUNT

Assistant Attorney General

16 DAVID L. ANDERSON

United States Attorney

17 ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO

Deputy Branch Director

18 JULIA A. HEIMAN (Bar No. 241415)

Senior Counsel

19 CHRISTOPHER HEALY

20 Trial Attorney

21 /s/ Julia A. Heiman

22 JULIA A. HEIMAN, Bar No. 241415

U.S. Department of Justice

23 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch

24 P.O. Box 883

Washington, D.C. 20044

25 Julia.Heiman@usdoj.gov

26 *Attorneys for Defendants*

1 JOSEPH H. HUNT
Assistant Attorney General
2 DAVID L. ANDERSON
United States Attorney
3 ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO
Deputy Branch Director
4 JULIA A. HEIMAN (Bar No. 241415)
Senior Counsel
5 CHRISTOPHER HEALY
Trial Attorney
6 United States Department of Justice
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
7 P.O. Box 883
Washington, D.C. 20044
8 Telephone: (202) 616-8480
Facsimile: (202) 616-8470
9 Email: Julia.Heiman@usdoj.gov
Attorneys for Defendants

10 MAYER BROWN LLP
LEE H. RUBIN (SBN 141331)
lrubin@mayerbrown.com
12 SAMANTHA BOOTH (SBN 298852)
sbooth@mayerbrown.com
13 Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300
3000 El Camino Real
14 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112
Telephone: (650) 331-2000
15 Facsimile: (650) 331-2060
Attorneys for Plaintiff Twitter, Inc.

16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
17 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
18 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

19 TWITTER, INC.,

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 WILLIAM P. BARR, Attorney General of the
United States, *et al.*

23 Defendants.
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 14-cv-4480-YGR

**[PROPOSED] ORDER RE JOINT
STIPULATED REQUEST TO EXCEED
PAGE LIMITATIONS FOR BRIEFING
IN SUPPORT OF THE PARTIES'
CROSS-MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

Pursuant to the joint stipulation of the parties and good cause having been shown, the parties' stipulated request that both parties be given a total of fifty (50) available pages for all briefing related to their cross-motions for summary judgment is hereby GRANTED.

Accordingly:

1. The page limit for Defendants' combined opposition to Twitter's cross-motion and reply in support of their renewed motion for summary judgment shall be increased by ten (10) pages, *nunc pro tunc*.
2. The page limit for Twitter's reply in support of its cross-motion for summary judgment shall likewise be increased by ten (10) pages.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December ____, 2019

The Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
United States District Judge